

S

SECTION 131 FORM

File With _____ S. 37

Appeal NO: ABP 314485

TO: SEO

Defer Re O/H ☐

Having considered the contents of the submission dated/ received 23/12/24
from

Tom Fee

I recommend that section 131 of the Planning and Development Act, 2000
~~be~~/not be invoked at this stage for the following reason(s): no new issues

E.O.: [Signature]

Date: 31/12/24

To EO: _____

Section 131 not to be invoked at this stage. ☐

Section 131 to be invoked – allow 2/4 weeks for reply. ☐

S.E.O.: _____

Date: _____

S.A.O.: _____

Date: _____

M _____

Please prepare BP _____ - Section 131 notice enclosing a copy of the attached
submission

to: _____

Allow 2/3/4weeks – BP _____

EO: _____

Date: _____

AA: _____

Date: _____

File With _____

CORRESPONDENCE FORM

Appeal No ABP 314485Please treat correspondence received on 23/12/24 as follows:

. Update database with new agent for Applicant/Appellant _____

. Acknowledge with BP 23. Keep copy of Board's Letter ☐

1. RETURN TO SENDER with BP _____

2. Keep Envelope: ☐3. Keep Copy of Board's letter ☐

Amendments/Comments

Resp Recd

4. Attach to file

(a) R/S ☐(b) GIS Processing ☒(c) Processing ☒(d) Screening ☐(e) Inspectorate ☐RETURN TO EO ☐Plans Date Stamped ☐Date Stamped Filled in ☐EO: [Signature]AA: F. MahipareDate: 31/12/24Date: 02/01/25

Dillon Corcoran

From: Bord
Sent: Monday 23 December 2024 16:44
To: Appeals2
Subject: FW: 314485

From: Tom Fee <tomasofiaich@yahoo.co.uk>
Sent: Monday, December 23, 2024 4:23 PM
To: Bord <bord@pleanala.ie>
Subject: 314485

Caution: This is an **External Email** and may have malicious content. Please take care when clicking links or opening attachments. When in doubt, contact the ICT Helpdesk.

Tom Fee
47 Carrickhill Heights
Portmarnock
D13X261

087 6878157

Dear sir,

Thank you for the opportunity to make additional observations in relation to the planning permission submitted by DAA. I previously made observations and paid the €50 fee.

At this stage it has been established that DAA have shown little regard for the conditions of planning permission. This has been established in relation to:

1. disregard for the flight paths established under the planning permission for the north runway
2. Disregard for the night flight limits established
3. Disregard for the overall limit on passengers

The DAA request to essentially set aside any meaningful constraints that would protect the health and well-being of thousands of residents, who should not currently be exposed to their excessive levels of aircraft noise and air pollution, might be shocking but is in keeping with this track record of disregard.

Recently, leading experts in our Irish Universities have added their voices of opposition to the DAA proposals. Their views are in line with established internal research and standards.

The position taken by ABP in your draft findings established a way of reducing some of the worst effects. I respect your difficulty in trying to find a reasonable position while under the most extraordinary barrage of political, media and big business interests pressure. You would need the judgement of Solomon and the patience of Job to navigate the myriad of vested interests, including those from affected residents such as myself and others whose lives are significantly more affected.

I spoke with a young man, yesterday, just visiting home from Australia. He had visited his sister to meet his new baby nephew for the first time in the Links Apartments at Portmarnock. While there he asked what the noise was; he thought there were works happening in the block. It turned out to be aircraft's overhead every couple of minutes. This is what the baby will grow up to. It is proven to have adverse health and learning outcome impacts. If the DAA plans were to progress then it would happen all day and night. In Sydney where he lives he remarked that the flights go over but not as low and there is a curfew. The impact on people that I suffered today by residents near the airport would simply not be allowed.

The Airport is important to us all. Everyone supports it functioning well. Adhering to originally agreed flight paths and originally agreed limits on night flights (or the ABP proposed approval limits on movements) would allow the airport to function well.

I appeal again for you to reject the DAA proposals in their appeal and to stick to the draft approval you issued. Damage is already being done to many people's lives and you are the only body standing up for fairness.

Thank you.

Regards
Tom Fee

Sent from [Outlook for iOS](#)